## +-----+ LOCAL COMMISSIONERS MEMORANDUM | +-----+ DSS-4037EL (Rev. 9/89)

Transmittal No: 95 LCM-123

Date: November 8, 1995

Division: Services & Community

Development

TO: Local District Commissioners

SUBJECT: SFY 1995/96 Preventive Services MOE Amounts for Social

Services Districts Spending Under the Family and

Children's Services Block Grant

ATTACHMENTS: Chart of Social Services Districts' Preventive Services

MOE Amounts (Available on line).

Section 231 of chapter 83 of the Laws of 1995 added a new Section 153-i to the Social Services Law (SSL) which establishes a Family and Children's Services Block Grant for the provision of State reimbursement to social services districts for expenditures for foster care services, preventive services, child protective services and adoption services other than adoption subsidies. Section 153-i of the SSL includes a provision that establishes a preventive services maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for social services districts. Under the preventive services MOE requirement, each social services district must maintain its preventive services expenditures at 80% of the amount it expended in federal fiscal year (FFY) 1993/94 unless a waiver or reduction in its MOE amount has been approved by the Department. A social services district's preventive services MOE amount is based on the district's expenditures from state and local funds and federal Title XX funds for FFY 1993/94.

The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of the Department's calculation of each social services district's preventive services amount. We are providing this information in a question and answer format. We have attached a chart at the end of this LCM that lists the preventive services MOE amounts for each social services district.

- Q. How were the preventive services MOE amounts calculated for each social services district?
- A. The preventive services MOE amount for each district is based upon the district's expenditures of State and local funds and federal Title XX funds for preventive services for FFY 1993/94 as derived from the Automated Claiming System (ACS). An annual MOE amount was established at 80% of those expenditures. However, because the preventive services MOE requirements did not become effective until July 1, 1995, each district's MOE amount for State fiscal year (SFY) 1995/96 was determined by reducing the district's annual MOE amount to a nine-month amount by multiplying the annual MOE amount by 75%.
- Q. What expenditures will be applied toward determining whether a district has met its preventive services MOE amount?
- A. All social services district expenditures for mandated preventive ervices, non-mandated preventive services, and community preventive services (COPS) that are made with State funds, local tax levy funds and federal Title XX funds will be counted in determining whether a social services district has met its preventive services MOE amount. Foster Care Cap savings funding preventive services will also be included.
- Q. Are in-kind donations and indirect services included in these preventive services MOE calculations?
- A. No. All in-kind donations and indirect services, as well as all non-tax levy funds including but not limited to privately donated funds and fees, were excluded from the Department's calculation of each social services district's preventive services MOE amount. In addition, in-kind donations, indirect services and non-tax levy funds will be excluded in determining whether or not the social services district's preventive services MOE has been met.
- Q. What are the claiming time periods covered by the MOE preventive services requirement for SFY 1995/96?
- A. For SFY 1995/96, the Department will count a social services district's preventive services claims that ordinarily would be paid during July 1, 1995 through March 31, 1996 to determine whether a social services district has met its preventive services MOE amount for the SFY. Therefore, those preventive services MOE claims that were submitted by your local district for the period January 1 through September 30, 1995 will be compared against the social services district's nine month MOE amount. Starting in SFY 1996/97 and thereafter, a social services district's preventive services claims that ordinarily would be paid during the applicable SFY will be compared against the social services district's annual preventive services MOE amount.

Trans. No. 95 LCM-123

- Q. What happens if a social district spends more on preventive services than its preventive services MOE amount?
- A. Under the Family and Children's Services Block Grant, a social services district will continue to be reimbursed for all valid services claims until the maximum amount of state reimbursement is reached. At that point, all settlement for valid services claims that have not been reimbursed with State or federal funds will be "rolled over" into the following SFY as explained in 95 LCM 108.
- Q. What happens if a social services district spends less on preventive services than its preventive services MOE amount?
- A. A social services district that spends less than its preventive services MOE amount and does not receive a reduction or waiver of the preventive services MOE requirement would have its Family and Children's Services Block Grant allocation for the next SFY reduced by the amount that the district's preventive services expenditures fell short of the required MOE amount. For SFY 1995/96, the Department does not anticipate that any social services district will spend less than its preventive services MOE amount. However, if a social services district does spend less than its preventive services MOE amount, the Department may waive or reduce the district's MOE amount upon a demonstration by the district that a substantial change in circumstances results in the MOE requirement causing a substantial risk of harm to the health and safety of the children in the district.
- Q. What is the process for requesting a waiver or reduction of the preventive services MOE requirement?
- A. The Department is developing regulations regarding this process. The proposed regulations will be published in the <u>New York State Register</u> for public comment as soon as the statutory clearance and publication process is completed. It is anticipated that the regulations will be promulgated within six months.

If you have any questions regarding this LCM, please call Santo Vivona at 1-800-343-8859, ext. 4-9605, or directly at 518-474-9605, User ID - AZ1440.

Rose M. Pandozy Deputy Commissioner

## Preventive Services MOE Amounts

Annual and Nine-Month Amounts

| County  | MOE(80%)<br>Annual  | MOE<br>9 month  |
|---|---|---|
| Albany Allegany Broome Cattaraugus Cayuga Chautauqua Chemung Chenango Clinton | 5,905,588<br>287,306<br>2,425,903<br>364,923<br>230,475<br>881,544<br>510,020<br>184,982<br>704,937 | 4,429,191<br>215,479<br>1,819,427<br>273,692<br>172,856<br>661,158<br>382,515<br>138,737<br>528,703 |
| Columbia Cortland Delaware Dutchess Erie Essex Franklin Fulton Genesee        | 189,174<br>718,881<br>865,187<br>1,011,102<br>6,260,859<br>273,807<br>306,709<br>379,070<br>385,066 | 141,881<br>539,161<br>648,890<br>758,326<br>4,695,644<br>205,355<br>230,032<br>284,302<br>288,800   |
| Greene Hamilton Herkimer Jefferson Lewis Livingston Madison Monroe            | 104,745<br>2,361<br>492,124<br>365,607<br>85,516<br>318,372<br>428,740<br>8,927,222                 | 78,559<br>1,771<br>369,093<br>274,205<br>64,137<br>238,779<br>321,555<br>6,695,416                  |
| Montgomery Nassau Niagara Oneida Onondaga Ontario Orange Orleans              | 198,367 2,223,575 617,698 2,549,024 6,590,911 289,129 2,728,101 265,990                             | 148,775<br>1,667,681<br>463,273<br>1,911,768<br>4,943,183<br>216,847<br>2,046,076<br>199,492        |
| Oswego Otsego Putnam Rensselaer Rockland St. Lawrence Saratoga Schenectady    | 1,132,128<br>729,207<br>344,981<br>878,264<br>3,643,575<br>398,057<br>316,563<br>4,241,070          | 849,096<br>546,905<br>258,736<br>658,698<br>2,732,681<br>298,543<br>237,422<br>3,180,803            |
| Schoharie Schuyler Seneca Steuben Suffolk Sullivan Tioga Tompkins             | 157,934<br>80,659<br>421,919<br>875,182<br>3,074,242<br>1,596,606<br>373,372<br>648,794             | 118,451<br>60,494<br>316,439<br>656,386<br>2,305,681<br>1,197,455<br>280,029<br>486,596             |
| Ulster<br>Warren<br>Washington<br>Wayne                                       | 2,416,581<br>340,591<br>371,440<br>401,910  | 1,812,436<br>255,443<br>278,580<br>301,433  |