

Report Identification Number: NY-22-001

Prepared by: New York City Regional Office

Issue Date: Jun 23, 2022

This report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns: ☐ A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.
The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.
The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.
The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the Senate of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.



Abbreviations

Relationships						
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child				
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child				
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father				
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider				
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father				
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle				
FM-Foster Mother	SS-Surviving Sibling	PS-Parent Sub				
CH/CHN-Child/Children	OA-Other Adult					
	Contacts					
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner				
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services				
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother				
CPS-Child Protective Services						
	Allegations					
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts				
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding				
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse				
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect				
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive				
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision				
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Other					
	Miscellaneous					
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender				
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence				
LDSS-Local Department of Social	ACS-Administration for Children's	NYPD-New York City Police				
Service	Services	Department				
PPRS-Purchased Preventive Rehabilitative Services	TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy Families	FC-Foster Care				
MH-Mental Health	ER-Emergency Room	COS-Court Ordered Services				
OP-Order of Protection	RAP-Risk Assessment Profile	FASP-Family Assessment Plan				
FAR-Family Assessment Response	Hx-History	Tx-Treatment				
CAC-Child Advocacy Center	PIP-Program Improvement Plan	yo- year(s) old				
CPR-Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation	ASTO-Allowing Sex Abuse to Occur					



Case Information

Report Type: Child Still Born **Jurisdiction:** Queens **Date of Death:** 12/30/2021

Age: 0 day(s) Gender: Male Initial Date OCFS Notified: 01/01/2022

Presenting Information

The 1/1/22 SCR report alleged the SM went into labor at home on 12/30/21. The SM called for medical assistance and the parents were directed to call 911. The parents failed to call 911 and the parents stated the SC was stillborn. There were conflicting stories in regards to the death of the SC deeming the SC's death suspicious.

Executive Summary

The SCR registered a report on 1/1/22 with allegations of DOA/Fatality, Lack of Medical Care, and Inadequate Guardianship of the newborn subject child (SC) by the parents. However, according to the ME, the SC was stillborn.

At the time of the incident, the mother was 30 weeks pregnant. The father and a 1-yo female child were in the home.

At the time of the SC's death, the family had an open ACS investigation that was registered on 12/31/21. On 1/1/22, ACS was in the process of investigating the initial report when the SCR registered the fatality report.

According to ACS, on 12/30/21, the mother felt discomfort and alerted her midwife via text. The mother went into active labor and contacted the father who was at work. The father left work and while enroute he called the midwife on a conference call with the mother. The midwife provided directions to the mother who delivered the fetus at about 5:12 PM. The father arrived home 10 minutes later and tried to provide mouth-to-mouth resuscitation; however, the fetus did not respond. The father transported the mother, fetus, 1-yo child to the hospital where medical providers confirmed the death.

ACS contacted the ME and learned preliminarily; the death was attributed to intrauterine fetal demise. The final autopsy report was pending as of the writing of this report.

ACS held a safety conference, and the decision was made to reflect no family court intervention was necessary as there were no safety concerns regarding the 1-yo. The service plan for the family included continuing general and bereavement counseling, as the family had already located a service provider, and Early Intervention. ACS provided burial assistance and a toddler bed. The parents later declined Early Intervention services for the 1-yo.

On 3/1/22, ACS unsubstantiated the allegations of the DOA/Fatality, Lack of Medical Care, and Inadequate Guardianship against the parents due to a lack of credible evidence. ACS documented the ME stated the cause of death was intrauterine fetal demise.

Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

Safety Assessment:

 Was sufficient information gathered to make the decision recorded on the:

2	NEW YORK STATE	Office of Children and Family Services
---	----------------------	--

Approved Initial Safety Assessment?

Yes

Safety assessment due at the time of determination?

Yes

• Was the safety decision on the approved Initial Safety Assessment appropriate?

Yes

Determination:

• Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for all allegations as well as any others identified in the course of the investigation?

Yes, sufficient information was gathered to determine all allegations.

• Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate appropriate?

Yes

Explain:

Sufficient information was gathered to make determination for all allegations.

Was the decision to close the case appropriate?

Yes

Was casework activity commensurate with appropriate and relevant statutory Yes

or regulatory requirements?

Was there sufficient documentation of supervisory consultation?

Yes, the case record has detail of the

consultation.

Explain:

The level of casework activity, which includes contact with the family and others from the receipt of the report through case conclusion was commensurate with the case circumstances.

Required Actions Related to the Fatality

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Wes No

Fatality-Related Information and Investigative Activities

Household Composition at time of Fatality

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	Alleged Victim	Male	0 Day(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Father	Alleged Perpetrator	Male	33 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother	Alleged Perpetrator	Female	31 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Female	1 Year(s)

LDSS Response

On 1/1/22, LE closed their case and reported that according to the ME the SC was stillborn. The SC's toxicology report was pending but there was no suspicion of criminality.

NY-22-001 FINAL Page 4 of 10



On 1/1/22, ACS visited the MGM's home to assess the 1-yo SS. The MGM said on 12/30/21, she received a call from the SM informing her she lost the SC. The SM was seven months pregnant. During the visit, the parents called. The SM said everything had appeared good with the pregnancy and could not understand what happened. The SM said she had gone without prenatal care for about two months. ACS advised the agency was able to assist with burial costs and bereavement.

On 1/3/22, SF said while at work he received a call from the SM who thought she was having contractions. The SF said they tried calling and texting the midwife several times, but she was not available. The SF said he left for home when the SM called and when he arrived, he could hear the SM screaming. He said the fetus was expelled in a breech position and did not appear to be breathing. The SF said he attempted CPR, but the fetus did not respond. The SF said he took the SM, the 1-yo child, and the fetus to the hospital. A medical team took the SM and fetus inside. Later, a doctor arrived and told him the fetus did not make it. ACS offered assistance with burial costs. The parents denied substance use. ACS observed the 1-yo SS.

On 1/4/22, the midwife reported she began working with the family on 12/22/21. The midwife said she saw the SM at 29 weeks and then eight days later the incident occurred. She said the SM called her at 4:00 PM. At 5:00 PM, she called the SM back and said she would call them back in ten minutes as she was with a client. The midwife said the SM told her she was experiencing intense contractions and both parents were on the phone. The midwife told the parents to go to the hospital, believing they were together, but she then learned the SF was on his way home. The midwife said she called the SM at 5:13 PM and the call lasted 71 minutes. The midwife said the SM told her the fetus was out at 5:30 PM and the SM screamed the fetus was not breathing. The midwife said she provided directions to the SM until the father arrived. The midwife said the fetus did not cry.

On 1/5/22, ACS interviewed the hospital SW who said the SM arrived at the ER with the fetus in her arms and the placenta in a bag. The SF was crying uncontrollably. During this period the 1-yo SS who was with the SF looked petrified but did not cry.

On 1/20/22, ACS dropped off items for the 1-yo SS to the case address. The 1-yo was observed with no marks or bruises on the face, arms, and legs.

On 3/1/22, ACS unsubstantiated the allegations of the report.

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality investigation conducted by a Multidisciplinary Team (MDT)?Yes

Was the fatality referred to an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team? No

Comments: There is no OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team in the NYC reegion.

SCR Fatality Report Summary

Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome
060581 - Deceased Child, Male, 0 Days	060583 - Mother, Female, 31 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Unsubstantiated
060581 - Deceased Child, Male, 0 Days	060583 - Mother, Female, 31 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated

NY-22-001 FINAL Page 5 of 10

NEW YORK STATE	Office of Children and Family Services
----------------------	--

060581 - Deceased Child, Male, 0 Days	060583 - Mother, Female, 31 Year(s)	Lack of Medical Care	Unsubstantiated
060581 - Deceased Child, Male, 0 Days	060584 - Father, Male, 33 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Unsubstantiated
060581 - Deceased Child, Male, 0 Days	060584 - Father, Male, 33 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated
060581 - Deceased Child, Male, 0 Days	060584 - Father, Male, 33 Year(s)	Lack of Medical Care	Unsubstantiated

CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?	\boxtimes			
When appropriate, children were interviewed?			\boxtimes	
Alleged subject(s) interviewed face-to-face?	\boxtimes			
All 'other persons named' interviewed face-to-face?			\boxtimes	
Contact with source?	\boxtimes			
All appropriate Collaterals contacted?	\boxtimes			
Was a death-scene investigation performed?	\boxtimes			
Was there discussion with all parties (youth, other household members, and staff) who were present that day (if nonverbal, observation and comments in case notes)?				
Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?	\boxtimes			
Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation?	\boxtimes			

Additional information:

The SF transported the SM, the fetus, and 1-yo child to the hospital.

Fatality Safety Assessment Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household?	\boxtimes			
Was there an adequate assessment of impending or immediate danger to shousehold named in the report:	surviving	siblings/o	ther child	dren in the
Within 24 hours?	\boxtimes			
At 7 days?	\boxtimes			
At 30 days?	\boxtimes			
Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving siblings/ other children in the household within 24 hours?				

NY-22-001 FINAL Page 6 of 10

NEW YORK STATE	Office of Children and Family Services
----------------------	--

Are there any safety issues that need to district?	be referred	back to th	e local					
When safety factors were present that p children in the household in impending harm, were the safety interventions, includequate?	or immedia	ate danger	of serious			\boxtimes		
Fatali	k Assessment	Profile						
				Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine	
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate	in this case	?						
During the course of the investigation, we gathered to assess risk to all surviving si household?								
Was there an adequate assessment of the	e family's r	need for se	vices?					
Did the protective factors in this case rein Family Court at any time during or a	-		•					
Were appropriate/needed services offer	ed in this ca	ase						
Placement Activities in Response to the Fatality Investigation								
Placement	Activities in	Response to	the Fatality I	Investigatio	n			
Placement	Activities in	Response to	the Fatality I	nvestigation Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine	
Did the safety factors in the case show the siblings/other children in the household care at any time during this fatality investigation.	he need for be remove	the surviv	ing			N/A		
Did the safety factors in the case show the siblings/other children in the household	he need for be remove estigation? usehold tha	the surviv d or placed at were ren	ing I in foster	Yes	No	N/A		
Did the safety factors in the case show the siblings/other children in the household care at any time during this fatality investive there surviving children in the hou as a result of this fatality report / investi	he need for be remove estigation? usehold tha gation or fo	the surviv d or placed at were ren or reasons	ing I in foster noved either unrelated	Yes	No 🖂	N/A		
Did the safety factors in the case show the siblings/other children in the household care at any time during this fatality investive there surviving children in the hou as a result of this fatality report / investi	he need for be remove estigation? usehold tha gation or fo	the surviv d or placed at were ren or reasons	ing I in foster	Yes	No 🖂	N/A		
Did the safety factors in the case show the siblings/other children in the household care at any time during this fatality investive there surviving children in the hou as a result of this fatality report / investi	he need for be remove estigation? usehold that igation or fo	the survived or placed of the survive of the surviv	ing I in foster noved either unrelated to the Fatality	Yes	No 🖂	N/A		
Did the safety factors in the case show the siblings/other children in the household care at any time during this fatality investing the case are sult of this fatality report / investing this fatality? Was there legal activity as a result of the	he need for be remove estigation? usehold that igation or fo Legal Activ	the survived or placed of the survive temperature of the survive of the survive temperature of the survive temperature of the survive temperature of the survive o	ing I in foster noved either unrelated to the Fatality	Yes	No Setivity.	N/A		
Did the safety factors in the case show the siblings/other children in the household care at any time during this fatality investing the case are sult of this fatality report / investing this fatality? Was there legal activity as a result of the	he need for be remove estigation? usehold that igation or fo Legal Activ	the survived or placed of the survive temperature of the survive of the survive temperature of the survive temperature of the survive temperature of the survive o	ing I in foster noved either unrelated to the Fatality ? There was	Yes	No Setivity.	N/A	Determine	
Did the safety factors in the case show the siblings/other children in the household care at any time during this fatality investing the case are sult of this fatality report / investing this fatality? Was there legal activity as a result of the	he need for be remove estigation? usehold that igation or fo Legal Activ	the survived or placed of the survive temperature of the survive of the survive temperature of the survive temperature of the survive temperature of the survive o	ing I in foster noved either unrelated to the Fatality ? There was	Yes	No Setivity.	l N/A	CDR	
Did the safety factors in the case show the siblings/other children in the household care at any time during this fatality investion where there surviving children in the hou as a result of this fatality report / investion to this fatality? Was there legal activity as a result of the Services F	he need for be removed estigation? usehold that gation or for the legal Active fatality inversely and the legal Active fatali	the survived or placed of the reasons of the survive reasons of the reasons of th	ing I in foster noved either unrelated to the Fatality ? There was Response to Offered, Unknown	Yes no legal at the Fatality	No No Needed but	l N/A	Determine CDR Lead to	

NY-22-001 FINAL Page 7 of 10

NEW YORK STATE and Family	ildren Services	Cł
ieral arrangemei	nts	\boxtimes
ising assistance		

Funeral arrangements	\boxtimes				
Housing assistance				\boxtimes	
Mental health services				\boxtimes	
Foster care				\boxtimes	
Health care				\boxtimes	
Legal services				\boxtimes	
Family planning				\boxtimes	
Homemaking Services				\boxtimes	
Parenting Skills				\boxtimes	
Domestic Violence Services				\boxtimes	
Early Intervention		\boxtimes			
Alcohol/Substance abuse				\boxtimes	
Child Care				\boxtimes	
Intensive case management				\boxtimes	
Family or others as safety resources				\boxtimes	
Other					
Additional information, if necessary:			 	 	

The parents stated they would obtain bereavement services on their own. The parents had agreed to the assistance from ACS for the burial.

Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality? Yes

Explain:

A bed was delivered to the case address.

Were services provided to parent(s) and other care givers to address any immediate needs related to the fatality? Yes

Explain:

The parents had agreed to the assistance from ACS for the burial.

History Prior to the Fatality

Child Information					
Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment?	No				
Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death?	No				
Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to this child's death?	No				
Infants Under One Year Old					



YORK	and Family Services Child Fatality Report					
Infant was ☐ Drug exp ☐ With nei		in case record	☐ With fetal alcohol	l effects or syndro	ome	
	CPS - Investig	gative History Three Y	Years Prior to the Fa	tality		
Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)	
12/31/2021	Deceased Child, Male, 0 Days	Mother, Female, 31 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	No	
	Deceased Child, Male, 0 Days	Mother, Female, 31 Years	Lack of Medical Care	Unsubstantiated		
	Deceased Child, Male, 0 Days	Father, Male, 33 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated		
	Deceased Child, Male, 0 Days	Father, Male, 33 Years	Lack of Medical Care	Unsubstantiated		
screaming,	21 SCR report alleged on 12/3 cursing, smashing, and breakind anger. The SS did not susta	ing a glass mirror while ho	olding the 1-yo SS. The S	SF was unable to	regulate his	
Report Det	ermination: Unfounded		Date of Determination:	: 03/01/2022		
The allegati to the SC at pronounced by the Dr. the	etermination: ons were unsubstantiated due home. The SF arrived home the SC dead and the ME dee hat the SC was pronounced do not hurt the SS, nor was the S	and transported the family med the cause of death a I ead, the SF admitted to be	to the ER for immediate ntrauterine Fetal Demise coming very emotional d	e medical care. The. When the SF wa	he hospital as informed	
OCFS Revi The report v personnel.	iew Results: was initiated in a timely mann There was evidence of superv the subjects of the report.	er. ACS made contact wit	h the appropriate collater	_		
Are there I	Required Actions related to	the compliance issue(s)?	☐Yes ⊠No			
	CDC F	-4: H:-4 M Tl Tl	V D.: 4. 41. E. 4	. 124		
	CPS - Investiga	ative History More Than Th	ree Years Prior to the Fata	anty		

There was no CPS investigative history more than three years prior to the fatality.

Legal History Within Three Years Prior to the Fatality

Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity.

NY-22-001 **FINAL** Page 9 of 10



Recommended Action(s)				
Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or policy changes? Yes No				
Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review? ☐Yes ☒No				